Network Working Group Request for Comments: 3201 Category: Standards Track R. Steinberger Paradyne Networks O. Nicklass RAD Data Communications Ltd. January 2002

Definitions of Managed Objects for Circuit to Interface Translation

Status of this Memo

This document specifies an Internet standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "Internet Official Protocol Standards" (STD 1) for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This memo defines an extension of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols in TCP/IP-based internets. In particular, it defines objects for managing the insertion of interesting Circuit Interfaces into the ifTable. This is important for circuits that must be used within other MIB modules which require an ifEntry. It allows for integrated monitoring of circuits as well as routing to circuits using unaltered, pre-existing MIB modules.

Table of Contents

1. The SNMP Management Framework	2
2. Conventions	3
3. Overview	3
3.1. Circuit Concepts	4
3.2. Theory of Operation	4
3.2.1. Creation Process	4
3.2.2. Destruction Process	5
3.2.2.1. Manual Row Destruction	5
3.2.2.2. Automatic Row Destruction	5
3.2.3. Modification Process	5
3.2.4. Persistence of Data	5
4. Relation to Other MIB Modules	6
4.1. Frame Relay DTE MIB	6
-	

Steinberger & Nicklass S

Standards Track

[Page 1]

4.2. Frame Relay Service MIB	6
4.3. ATM MIB	б
4.4. Interfaces Group MIB	6
4.4.1. Interfaces Table (ifTable, ifXtable)	б
4.4.2. Stack Table (ifStackTable)	9
4.5. Other MIB Modules	11
5. Structure of the MIB Module	11
5.1. ciCircuitTable	11
5.2. cilfMapTable	11
6. Object Definitions	11
7. Acknowledgments	19
8. References	19
9. Security Considerations	21
10. IANA Considerations	21
11. Authors' Addresses	22
12. Full Copyright Statement	23

1. The SNMP Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- o An overall architecture, described in RFC 2571 [1].
- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16, RFC 1155 [2], STD 16, RFC 1212 [3] and RFC 1215 [4]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [5], RFC 2579 [6] and RFC 2580 [7].
- Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [8]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in RFC 1901 [9] and RFC 1906 [10]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in RFC 1906 [10], RFC 2572 [11] and RFC 2574 [12].
- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [8]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in RFC 1905 [13].

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 2]

 A set of fundamental applications described in RFC 2573 [14] and the view-based access control mechanism described in RFC 2575 [15].

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in RFC 2570 [16].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2. A MIB conforming to the SMIv1 can be produced through the appropriate translations. The resulting translated MIB must be semantically equivalent, except where objects or events are omitted because no translation is possible (use of Counter64). Some machine readable information in SMIv2 will be converted into textual descriptions in SMIv1 during the translation process. However, this loss of machine readable information is not considered to change the semantics of the MIB.

2. Conventions

The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, NOT RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [21].

3. Overview

This MIB module addresses the concept of inserting circuits, which are potentially virtual, into the ifTable. There are multiple reasons to allow circuits to be added to the ifTable. The most prevalent of which are the standard routing MIB tables such as the ipCidrRouteTable (IP-FORWARD-MIB) and the ipNetToMediaTable (IP-MIB) act on the ifIndex and the RMON MIBs (RMON-MIB and RMON2-MIB as defined in RFC 2819 [23] and RFC 2021 [19]) require the use of an ifIndex a DataSource.

There is a further need to potentially monitor or manage a circuit based on the directional flow of traffic going through it. For instance, monitoring of protocols passed on a circuit using RMON-II (RFC 2021 [19]) does not currently capture the direction of the flow. This MIB module provides the capability to define an interface based on the specific direction of the flow.

This section provides an overview and background of how to use this MIB module.

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 3]

3.1. Circuit Concepts

There are multiple MIB modules that define circuits. Three commonly used MIB modules are FRAME-RELAY-DTE-MIB (RFC 2115) [20], FRNETSERV-MIB (RFC 2954) [18], and ATM-MIB (RFC 2515) [22]. These define management objects for frame relay DTEs, frame relay services, and ATM respectively. Each of these MIB modules contain the ability to add or delete circuits; however, none create a specific ifEntry for a circuit. The reason for this is that there are potentially multiple circuits and not every circuit needs to be managed as an individual interface. For example, not every circuit on a device needs to be monitored with RMON and not every circuit needs to be included as an individual circuit for routing. Further, the Interfaces Group MIB (RFC 2863) [17] strongly recommends that conceptual rows not be added to the ifTable for virtual circuits.

The rationale for creating conceptual rows in the ifTable for these circuits is that there is a need for their use in either management of routing or monitoring of data. Both of these functions require mapping to an ifIndex.

This MIB module is designed such that only those circuits that require an ifIndex need be added to the ifTable. This prevents over-populating the ifTable with useless or otherwise unused indices.

While this document often refers to ATM and frame relay, it is not specifically designed for only those types of circuits. Any circuit that is defined in a MIB module but does not have its own ifIndex MAY be added with this MIB module.

3.2. Theory of Operation

3.2.1. Creation Process

In some cases, devices will automatically populate the rows of ciCircuitTable as circuits are created or discovered. However, in many cases, it may be necessary for a network manager to manually create rows.

Manual creation of rows requires the following steps:

- 1) Locate or create the circuit that is to be added on the device.
- 2) Create a row in ciCircuitTable for each flow type that is required.

The first step above requires some knowledge of the circuits that exist on a device. Typically, logical ports have entries in the

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 4]

ifTable. If, for example, the ifType for the logical port is frameRelay(32), the circuits can be located in the frCircuitTable of the Frame Relay DTE MIB (FRAME-RELAY-DTE-MIB) [18]. If, as another example, the ifType for the logical port is frameRelayService(44), the circuits can be located in the frPVCEndptTable of the Frame Relay Service MIB (FRNETSERV-MIB) [20]. If, as a final example, the ifType for the logical port is aal5(49), the circuits can be located in the aal5VccTable of the ATM MIB (ATM-MIB) [22]. An entry describing the circuit MUST exist in some table prior to creating a row in ciCircuitTable. The object identifier that MUST be used in the circuit definition is the lexicographically smallest accessible OID that fully describes the the circuit.

3.2.2. Destruction Process

3.2.2.1. Manual Row Destruction

Manual row destruction is straight forward. Any row can be destroyed and the resources allocated to it are freed by setting the value of its status object (ciCircuitStatus) to destroy(6). It should be noted that when ciCircuitStatus is set to destroy(6) all associated rows in the ifTable and in ciIfMapTable will also be destroyed. This process MAY trigger further row destruction in other tables as well.

3.2.2.2. Automatic Row Destruction

Rows in the tables MAY be destroyed automatically based on the existence of the circuit on which they rely. When a circuit no longer exists in the device, the data in the tables has no relation to anything known on the network. For this reason, rows MUST be removed from this table as soon as it is discovered that the associated circuits no longer exist. The effects of automatic row destruction are the same as manual row destruction.

3.2.3. Modification Process

Since no objects in the MIB module can be changed once rows are active, there are no modification caveats.

3.2.4. Persistence of Data

Each row in the tables of this MIB module relies on information from other MIB modules. The rules for persistence of the data SHOULD follow the same rules as those of the underlying MIB module. For example, if the circuit defined by ciCircuitObject would normally be stored in non-volatile memory, then the ciCircuitEntry SHOULD also be non-volatile.

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 5]

4. Relation to Other MIB Modules

4.1. Frame Relay DTE MIB

There is no required relation to the Frame Relay DTE MIB beyond the fact that rows in the frCircuitTable MAY be referenced. However, if frCircuitLogicalIfIndex is being used to represent the same information as a ciCircuitEntry with a value of ciCircuitFlow equal to both(3), the implementation MAY use the same ifIndex.

4.2. Frame Relay Service MIB

There is no explicit relation to the Frame Relay Service MIB beyond the fact that a rows in the frPVCEndptTable MAY be referenced.

4.3. ATM MIB

There is no explicit relation to the ATM MIB beyond the fact that rows in multiple tables may be referenced.

4.4. Interfaces Group MIB

4.4.1. Interfaces Table (ifTable, ifXtable)

The following specifies how the Interfaces Group defined in the IF-MIB will be used for the management of interfaces created by this MIB module.

Values	of	specific	ifTable	objects	for	circuit	interfaces	are	as
follows	3:								

Object Name	Value of Object
===========	

- ifIndex Each entry in the circuit table is represented by an ifEntry. The value of ifIndex is defined by the agent such that it complies with any internal numbering scheme.
- ifType The value of ifType is specific to the type of circuit desired. For example, the value for frame relay virtual circuits is frDlciEndPt(193) and the value for ATM virtual circuits is atmVciEndPt(194). If the circuit is to be used in RMON, propVirtual(53) SHOULD NOT be used.

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 6]

- ifMtu Set to the size in octets of the largest packet, frame or PDU supported on the circuit. If this is not known to the ifMtu object shall be set to zero. If the circuit is not modeled as a packet-oriented interface, this object SHOULD NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifSpeed The peak bandwidth in bits per second available for use. This will equal either the ifSpeed of the logical link if policing is not enforced or the maximum information rate otherwise. If neither is known, the ifSpeed object shall be set to zero.
- ifPhysAddress This will always be an octet string of zero length.
- ifInOctets The number of octets received by the network (ingress) for this circuit. This counter should count only octets included the header information and user data. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifInUcastPkts The unerrored number of frames, packets or PDUs received by the network (ingress) for this circuit. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifInDiscards The number of received frames, packets or PDUs for this circuit discarded due to ingress buffer congestion and traffic policing. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifInErrors The number of received frames, packets or PDUs for this circuit that are discarded because of an error. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifOutOctets The number of octets sent by the network (egress) for this circuit. This counter should count only octets included the header information and user data. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.

[Page 7]

- ifOutUcastpkts The number of unerrored frames, packets or PDUs sent by the network (egress) for this circuit. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifOutDiscards The number of frames, packets or PDUs discarded in the egress direction for this circuit. Possible reasons are as follows: policing, congestion. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifOutErrors The number of frames, packets or PDUs discarded for this circuit in the egress direction because of an error. If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.
- ifInBroadcastPkts

If the device does not support statistics on the circuit, this object MUST NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.

ifOutBroadcastPkts

If the device does not support Broadcast packets on the circuit, this object should not be supported and result in noSuchInstance.

ifLinkUpDownTrapEnable

Set to false(2). Circuits often have a predefined notification mechanism. In such instances, the number of notification sent would be doubled if this were enabled.

ifPromiscuousMode

Set to false(2). If the circuit is not modeled as a packet-oriented interface, this object SHOULD NOT be supported and result in noSuchInstance.

ifConnectorPresent

Set to false(2).

All other values are supported as stated in the IF-MIB documentation.


Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 8]

4.4.2. Stack Table (ifStackTable)

This section describes by example how to use ifStackTable to represent the relationship between circuit and logical link interfaces.

Example 1: Circuits (C) on a frame relay logical link.



The assignment of the index values could for example be (for a V35 physical interface):

ifIndex	Description		
======	==========		
1	frDlciEndPt	(type	193)
2	frDlciEndPt	(type	193)
3	frDlciEndPt	(type	193)
4	frameRelayService	(type	44)
5	v35	(type	33)

The ifStackTable is then used to show the relationships between each interface.


HigherLayer	LowerLayer		
==========	=========		
0	1		
0	2		
0	3		
1	4		
2	4		
3	4		
4	5		
5	0		
In the above example easily be of type t		link c	ould just

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 9]

as

Example 2: Circuits (C) on a AAL5 logical link.



The assignment of the index values could for example be (for a DS3 physical interface):

ifIndex	Description		
======	===========		
1	atmVciEndPt	(type	194)
2	atmVciEndPt	(type	194)
3	atmVciEndPt	(type	194)
4	aal5	(type	49)
5	atm	(type	37)
6	ds3	(type	30)

The ifStackTable is then used to show the relationships between each interface.

HigherLayer	LowerLayer
=========	=========
0	1
0	2
0	3
1	4
2	4
3	4
4	5
5	б
6	0

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 10]

4.5. Other MIB Modules

There is no explicit relation to any other media specific MIB module beyond the fact that rows in multiple tables may be referenced.

5. Structure of the MIB Module

The CIRCUIT-IF-MIB consists of the following components:

- o ciCircuitTable
- o ciIfMapTable

Refer to the compliance statement defined within for a definition of what objects MUST be implemented.

5.1. ciCircuitTable

The ciCircuitTable is the central control table for operations of the Circuit Interfaces MIB. It provides a means of mapping a circuit to its ifIndex as well as forcing the insertion of an ifIndex into the ifTable. The agent is responsible for managing the ifIndex itself such that no device dependent indexing scheme is violated.

A row in this table MUST exist in order for a row to exist in any other table in this MIB module.

5.2. cilfMapTable

This table maps the ifIndex back to the circuit that it is associated with.

6. Object Definitions

CIRCUIT-IF-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS

ORID		
MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE,		
mib-2, Gauge32	FROM	SNMPv2-SMI
TEXTUAL-CONVENTION, RowStatus,		
TimeStamp, RowPointer, StorageType	FROM	SNMPv2-TC
MODULE-COMPLIANCE, OBJECT-GROUP	FROM	SNMPv2-CONF
ifIndex, InterfaceIndex	FROM	IF-MIB;

circuitIfMIB MODULE-IDENTITY LAST-UPDATED "200201030000Z" -- January 3, 2002 ORGANIZATION "IETF Frame Relay Service MIB Working Group" CONTACT-INFO

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 11]

"IETF Frame Relay Service MIB (frnetmib) Working Group WG Charter: http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/ frnetmib-charter.html WG-email: frnetmib@sunroof.eng.sun.com Subscribe: frnetmib-request@sunroof.eng.sun.com Email Archive: ftp://ftp.ietf.org/ietf-mail-archive/frnetmib Chair: Andy Malis Vivace Networks Email: Andy.Malis@vivacenetworks.com WG editor: Robert Steinberger Paradyne Networks and Fujitsu Network Communications Email: robert.steinberger@fnc.fujitsu.com Co-author: Orly Nicklass RAD Data Communications Ltd. Orly_n@rad.co.il" EMail: DESCRIPTION "The MIB module to allow insertion of selected circuit into the ifTable." REVISION "200201030000Z" -- January 3, 2002 DESCRIPTION "Initial version, published as RFC 3201" ::= { mib-2 94 } -- Textual Conventions CiFlowDirection ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The direction of data flow thru a circuit. transmit(1) - Only transmitted data receive(2) - Only received data both(3) - Both transmitted and received data." SYNTAX INTEGER { transmit(1), receive(2), both(3) } OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { circuitIfMIB 1 } ci0bjects ciCapabilities OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { circuitIfMIB 2 ciConformance OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { circuitIfMIB 3 }

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 12]

```
-- The Circuit Interface Circuit Table
_ _
-- This table is used to define and display the circuits that
-- are added to the ifTable. It maps circuits to their respective
-- ifIndex values.
ciCircuitTable OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF CiCircuitEntry
   MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The Circuit Interface Circuit Table."
    ::= { ciObjects 1 }
ciCircuitEntry OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX CiCircuitEntry
   MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
       "An entry in the Circuit Interface Circuit Table."
    INDEX { ciCircuitObject, ciCircuitFlow }
    ::= { ciCircuitTable 1 }
CiCircuitEntry ::=
    SEQUENCE {
        -- Index Control Variables
        _ _
       ciCircuitObject RowPointer,
ciCircuitFlow CiFlowDirection,
ciCircuitStatus RowStatus,
        _ _
        -- Data variables
        _ _
        ciCircuitIfIndex InterfaceIndex,
        ciCircuitCreateTime TimeStamp,
        _ _
        -- Data Persistence
        _ _
        ciCircuitStorageType StorageType
    }
ciCircuitObject OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX RowPointer
    MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "This value contains the RowPointer that uniquely
```

[Page 13]

describes the circuit that is to be added to this table. Any RowPointer that will force the size of OBJECT IDENTIFIER of the row to grow beyond the legal limit MUST be rejected. The purpose of this object is to point a network manager to the table in which the circuit was created as well as define the circuit on which the interface is defined. Valid tables for this object include the frCircuitTable from the Frame Relay DTE MIB(FRAME-RELAY-DTE-MIB), the frPVCEndptTable from the Frame Relay Service MIB (FRNETSERV-MIB), and the aal5VccTable from the ATM MIB (ATM MIB). However, including circuits from other MIB tables IS NOT prohibited." ::= { ciCircuitEntry 1 } ciCircuitFlow OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX CiFlowDirection MAX-ACCESS not-accessible STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The direction of data flow through the circuit for which the virtual interface is defined. The following define the information that the virtual interface will report. transmit(1) - Only transmitted frames receive(2) - Only received frames - Both transmitted and received frames. both(3)It is recommended that the ifDescr of the circuit interfaces that are not both(3) SHOULD have text warning the operators that the particular interface represents only half the traffic on the circuit." ::= { ciCircuitEntry 2 } ciCircuitStatus OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX RowStatus MAX-ACCESS read-create STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The status of the current row. This object is used to add, delete, and disable rows in this table. When the status changes to active(1), a row will also be added to the interface map table below and a row will be added to the ifTable. These rows SHOULD not be removed until the status is changed from active(1). The value of ifIndex for the row that

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 14]

is added to the ifTable is determined by the agent and MUST follow the rules of the ifTable. The value of ifType for that interface will be frDlciEndPt(193) for a frame relay circuit, atmVciEndPt(194) for an ATM circuit, or another ifType defining the circuit type for any other circuit.

When this object is set to destroy(6), the associated row in the interface map table will be removed and the ifIndex will be removed from the ifTable. Removing the ifIndex MAY initiate a chain of events that causes changes to other tables as well.

The rows added to this table MUST have a valid object identifier for ciCircuitObject. This means that the referenced object must exist and it must be in a table that supports circuits.

The object referenced by ciCircuitObject MUST exist prior to transitioning a row to active(1). If at any point the object referenced by ciCircuitObject does not exist or the row containing it is not in the active(1) state, the status SHOULD either age out the row or report notReady(3). The effects transitioning from active(1) to notReady(3) are the same as those caused by setting the status to destroy(6).

Each row in this table relies on information from other MIB modules. The rules persistence of data SHOULD follow the same rules as those of the underlying MIB module. For example, if the circuit defined by ciCircuitObject would normally be stored in non-volatile memory, then the row SHOULD also be non-volatile." ::= { ciCircuitEntry 3 }

```
ciCircuitIfIndex OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX   InterfaceIndex
   MAX-ACCESS read-only
   STATUS   current
   DESCRIPTION
      "The ifIndex that the agent assigns to this row."
   ::= { ciCircuitEntry 4 }
```

ciCircuitCreateTime OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX TimeStamp MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 15]

```
"This object returns the value of sysUpTime at the time
         the value of ciCircuitStatus last transitioned to
         active(1). If ciCircuitStatus has never been active(1),
         this object SHOULD return 0."
    ::= { ciCircuitEntry 5 }
ciCircuitStorageType OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX StorageType
   MAX-ACCESS read-create
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The storage type used for this row."
::= { ciCircuitEntry 6 }
-- The Circuit Interface Map Table
- -
-- This table maps the ifIndex values that are assigned to
-- rows in the circuit table back to the objects that define
-- the circuits.
cilfMapTable OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF CilfMapEntry
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The Circuit Interface Map Table."
    ::= { ciObjects 2 }
cilfMapEntry OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX CilfMapEntry
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
   STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
       "An entry in the Circuit Interface Map Table."
    INDEX { ifIndex }
    ::= { cilfMapTable 1 }
CilfMapEntry ::=
   SEQUENCE {
        _ _
        -- Mapped Object Variables
        _ _
       cilfMapObject RowPointer,
        ciIfMapFlow
                          CiFlowDirection
    }
cilfMapObject OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX RowPointer
```

[Page 16]

```
MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "This value contains the value of RowPointer that
         corresponds to the current ifIndex."
    ::= { cilfMapEntry 1 }
cilfMapFlow OBJECT-TYPE
             CiFlowDirection
    SYNTAX
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The value contains the value of ciCircuitFlow that
        corresponds to the current ifIndex."
    ::= { cilfMapEntry 2 }
-- Change tracking metrics
cilfLastChange OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX TimeStamp
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The value of sysUpTime at the most recent change to
         ciCircuitStatus for any row in ciCircuitTable."
    ::= { ciObjects 3 }
cilfNumActive OBJECT-TYPE
SYNTAX Gauge32
MAX-ACCESS read-only
STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The number of active rows in ciCircuitTable."
    ::= { ciObjects 4 }
-- Conformance Information
ciMIBGroups OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ciConformance 1 }
ciMIBCompliances OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ciConformance 2 }
_ _
-- Compliance Statements
_ _
ciCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The compliance statement for SNMP entities
```

[Page 17]

```
which support of the Circuit Interfaces MIB module.
         This group defines the minimum level of support
         required for compliance."
    MODULE -- this module
        MANDATORY-GROUPS { ciCircuitGroup,
                            cilfMapGroup,
                            ciStatsGroup }
        OBJECT
                   ciCircuitStatus
                    INTEGER { active(1) } -- subset of RowStatus
        SYNTAX
        MIN-ACCESS read-only
        DESCRIPTION
           "Row creation can be done outside of the scope of
            the SNMP protocol. If this object is implemented with
            max-access of read-only, then the only value that \ensuremath{\text{MUST}}
            be returned is active(1)."
        OBJECT ciCircuitStorageType
MIN-ACCESS read-only
        DESCRIPTION
           "It is legal to support ciCircuitStorageType as read-
            only as long as the value reported in consistent
            with the actual storage mechanism employed within the
            agent."
::= { ciMIBCompliances 1 }
-- Units of Conformance
_ _
ciCircuitGroup OBJECT-GROUP
   OBJECTS {
       ciCircuitStatus,
        ciCircuitIfIndex,
        ciCircuitCreateTime,
        ciCircuitStorageType
   }
   STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
       "A collection of required objects providing
        information from the circuit table."
   ::= { ciMIBGroups 1 }
cilfMapGroup OBJECT-GROUP
   OBJECTS {
       cilfMapObject,
       ciIfMapFlow
   }
```

[Page 18]

```
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
    "A collection of required objects providing
    information from the interface map table."
    ::= { ciMIBGroups 2 }
ciStatsGroup OBJECT-GROUP
OBJECTS {
    ciIfLastChange,
    ciIfNumActive
  }
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
    "A collection of statistical metrics used to help manage
    the ciCircuitTable."
  ::= { ciMIBGroups 3 }
```

END

7. Acknowledgments

This document was produced by the Frame Relay Service MIB Working Group.

- 8. References
 - Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks", RFC 2571, April 1999.
 - [2] Rose, M. and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based Internets", STD 16, RFC 1155, May 1990.
 - [3] Rose, M. and K. McCloghrie, "Concise MIB Definitions", STD 16, RFC 1212, March 1991.
 - [4] Rose, M., "A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the SNMP", RFC 1215, March 1991.
 - [5] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
 - [6] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 19]

- McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.
- [8] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M. and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol", STD 15, RFC 1157, May 1990.
- [9] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2", RFC 1901, January 1996.
- [10] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1906, January 1996.
- [11] Case, J., Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 2572, April 1999.
- [12] Blumenthal, U. and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", RFC 2574, April 1999.
- [13] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1905, January 1996.
- [14] Levi, D., Meyer, P. and B. Stewart, "SNMPv3 Applications", RFC 2573, April 1999.
- [15] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R. and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 2575, April 1999.
- [16] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D. and B. Stewart, "Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", RFC 2570, April 1999.
- [18] Rehbehn, K. and D. Fowler, "Definitions of Managed Objects for Frame Relay Service", RFC 2954, October 2000.
- [19] Waldbusser, S., "Remote Network Monitoring Management Information Base Version 2 using SMIv2", RFC 2021, January 1997.

[Page 20]

- [20] Brown, C. and F. Baker, "Management Information Base for Frame Relay DTEs Using SMIv2", RFC 2115, September 1997.
- [21] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [22] Tesink, K., "Definitions of Managed Objects for ATM Management", RFC 2515, February 1999.
- [23] Waldbusser, S., "Remote Network Monitoring Management Information Base", RFC 2819, May 2000.
- 9. Security Considerations

There are a number of management objects defined in this MIB that have a MAX-ACCESS clause of read-write and/or read-create. Such objects may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. The support for SET operations in a non-secure environment without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations.

SNMPv1 by itself is not a secure environment. Even if the network itself is secure (for example by using IPSec), even then, there is no control as to who on the secure network is allowed to access and GET/SET (read/change/create/delete) the objects in this MIB.

It is recommended that the implementers consider the security features as provided by the SNMPv3 framework. Specifically, the use of the User-based Security Model RFC 2274 [12] and the View-based Access Control Model RFC 2275 [15] is recommended.

It is then a customer/user responsibility to ensure that the SNMP entity giving access to an instance of this MIB, is properly configured to give access to the objects only to those principals (users) that have legitimate rights to indeed GET or SET (change/create/delete) them.

10. IANA Considerations

New ifTypes defined specifically for use in this MIB module SHOULD be in the form of ***EndPt. This is similar to frDlciEndPt(193) and atmVciEndPt(194) which are already defined.

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 21]

11. Authors' Addresses

Robert Steinberger Fujitsu Network Communications 2801 Telecom Parkway Richardson, TX 75082

Phone: 1-972-479-4739 EMail: robert.steinberger@fnc.fujitsu.com

Orly Nicklass, Ph.D RAD Data Communications Ltd. 12 Hanechoshet Street Tel Aviv, Israel 69710

Phone: 972 3 7659969 EMail: Orly_n@rad.co.il

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 22]

12. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Steinberger & Nicklass Standards Track

[Page 23]